## Remarks

In view of the above amendments and the following remarks, reconsideration of the outstanding office action is respectfully requested.

By the above amendments to the specification, the trademark REACTIGEL has been capitalized and the full terminology for the abbreviation SSC has been provided. No new matter has been added. By the above claim amendments, claims 1, 5, 12, 25, 29, 38, 40-42, 46, 64, 65, 68, 74-76, 79, and 82 have been amended and claims 2-4, 6-11, 20, 24, 28, 30, 31, 33-35, 37, 47, 52-61, 66, 67, 69, 72, and 73 have been canceled. Written descriptive support for the claim amendments is found in original claim 4 and paragraphs [0037]-[0038] of the present application. No new matter has been added by the claim amendments. Claims 1, 5, 12, 25, 29, 32, 38-43, 45, 46, 48-50, 64, 65, 68, 70, 71, and 74-88 are pending, with claims 25, 29, 32, 38-43, 45, 46, 48-50, 64, 65, 68, 70, 71, and 74-88 having been withdrawn from consideration.

Applicants respectfully request that the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office ("PTO") reconsider the restriction of claims 65, 68, 70, 71, and 74-85, which are directed to an isolated nucleic acid molecule, a DNA construct, an expression vector, and a host cell. The subject matter of these claims forms a single general inventive concept with the subject matter of claims 1, 5, and 12 under PCT Rule 13.1. In particular, claims 65, 68, 70, 71, and 74-85, share with claim 1 the special technical feature of the isolated polypeptide.

The objection to the specification is respectfully traversed in view of the above amendments to the specification.

The rejection of claims 1-12 under 35 U.S.C. § 112 (2<sup>nd</sup> para.) for indefiniteness is respectfully traversed in view of the above claim amendments.

The rejection of claims 1-8 and 12 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as anticipated by WO 98/39424 to Wakefield ("Wakefield") is respectfully traversed.

Wakefield discloses an isolated DNA comprising part or all of a *PRT1* gene of a non-rat infecting species of *Pneumocystis carinii*.

However, Wakefield nowhere teaches or suggests an isolated polypeptide encoded by a nucleic acid molecule that (i) shares at least about 85 percent identity to the nucleotide sequence of 1-837 of SEQ ID NO: 4 or (ii) hybridizes to the complement of the nucleotide sequence of 1-837 of SEQ ID NO: 4 under stringency conditions comprising a hybridization medium that contains at most about 10X standard sodium citrate (SSC) and a temperature of about 50°C or greater followed by wash conditions at or above stringency conditions of the hybridization, as required by amended claim 1 of the present application.

A sequence alignment (the results of which are attached hereto as Exhibit A) between nucleotides 1-837 of SEQ ID NO: 4 of the present application and Wakefield's *PRT1* demonstrate a 34.9% identity between the two sequences. In view of the dissimilarity between these two sequences, it cannot be asserted that Wakefield teaches or suggests a nucleic acid molecule that shares at least about 85 percent identity to the nucleotide sequence of 1-837 of SEQ ID NO: 4. Further, as a person of ordinary skill in the art is well aware, hybridization between DNA sequences requires sequence homology. Two dissimilar sequences, by definition, will not hybridize to respective complements under, *e.g.*, the conditions recited in amended claim 1 (*i.e.*, stringency conditions comprising a hybridization medium that contains at most about 10X standard sodium citrate (SSC) and a temperature of about 50°C or greater followed by wash conditions at or above stringency conditions of the hybridization). In view of the lack of similarity between Wakefield's *PRT1* and nucleotides 1-837 of SEQ ID NO: 4 of the present application, it likewise cannot be asserted that Wakefield teaches or suggests an isolated polypeptide encoded by a nucleic acid molecule that hybridizes, under the specified stringency conditions, to the complement of the nucleotide sequence of 1-837 of SEQ ID NO: 4.

Since Wakefield does not teach or suggest each and every limitation of claim 1 (and claims 2-8 and 12 dependent thereon), the anticipation rejection based on this reference is improper and should be withdrawn.

The rejection of claims 9 and 10 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) for obviousness over Wakefield is respectfully traversed for substantially the same reasons as set forth in the preceding rejection. Accordingly, this rejection is improper and should be withdrawn.

- 11 -

The rejection of claim 11 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) for obviousness over Wakefield in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,165,469 to Mann et al. ("Mann") is respectfully

traversed.

Mann is cited for teaching that it was routine and conventional in the art at the

time of the invention to include multiple copies of a given art-known single molecule, small

peptide, or an epitope to produce a single fusion protein for the purpose of enhancing the

immunogenicity of the single small peptide or epitope. Even accepting that the PTO's assertion

is true, which applicants do not admit, the obviousness rejection is deficient because Mann does

not overcome the above-noted deficiencies of Wakefield. Therefore, the obviousness rejection

based on the combination of these references is improper and should be withdrawn.

The objections to the claims are respectfully traversed in view of the above claim

amendments.

In view of the foregoing, applicants submit that this case is in condition for

allowance and such allowance is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: \_\_\_\_March 9, 2010

/Tate L. Tischner/

Tate L. Tischner

Registration No. 56,048

NIXON PEABODY LLP

Clinton Square, P.O. Box 31051

Rochester, New York 14603

Telephone: (585) 263-1363

Facsimile: (585) 263-1600

12918144.1

Needle Page 1 of 2

## **EMBOSS Align Results**

Matrix Blosum62
Open gap penalty 10.0
Gap extension penalty 0.5

Needle output needle-20100305-1909243557.output

SUBMIT ANOTHER JOB

```
# Program: needle
Rundate: Fri 5 Mar 2010 19:09:28
 Commandline: needle
   [-asequence] /ebi/extserv/old-work/needle-20100305-1909243557.input.1
   [-bsequence] /ebi/extserv/old-work/needle-20100305-1909243557.input.2
   -outfile /ebi/extserv/old-work/needle-20100305-1909243557.output
   -gapopen 10.0
   -gapextend 0.5
   -datafile EBLOSUM62
   -sprotein1
   -sprotein2
   -auto
# Align_format: srspair
# Report_file: /ebi/extserv/old-work/needle-20100305-1909243557.output
_____
# Aligned_sequences: 2
# 1: 4 1-837 10/584,871
# 2: PRT1 98/39424
# Matrix: EBLOSUM62
# Gap penalty: 10.0
# Extend penalty: 0.5
# Length: 846
# Identity: 295/846 (34.9%)
# Similarity:
            295/846 (34.9%)
            271/846 (32.0%)
# Gaps:
# Score: 1060.5
_____
4_1-837_10/58 1 ACCAATATATCCGAACCAGCACTGCCTGATAAGGATCCTCAACCTACATC
                                                            50
                        30
PRT1 98/39424
              1 -----tgaagtag--ctgcc----gttcgaaatactgtttg
              51 T-TCACCTCAG-C-CAAAACCTCGGCCAAGACCTCGACCTCAACCTCAAC
4 1-837 10/58
                31 tggaatcggtgttgcatatgaat--ccaaagtttctgg-tatt--ttatt
                                                            75
PRT1_98/39424
4 1-837 10/58
              98 CTCATCCAC--ATCCAAAACCTCAGCCTCAGCCGACGCCAGAACCTCAGC
                                                            145
                76 ctttttgactgaatctaata--taatatcatta-aggtttgcgaa--ta-
                                                            119
PRT1 98/39424
                                                            195
4_1-837_10/58
             146 CTCAGCCGGCGCCAGAACCTCGACCTCAGCCGACGTCAAAACCTCGACCT
```

		1.1.1111 1111.1 .11.11.	
PRT1_98/39424	120	-ttatccgg-gcctataac-agatcttgatgaag	150
4_1-837_10/58	196	CAGCCAACGTCAAAACCTCGACCTCAGCCGACGCCAGAACCTCG-ACC	24
PRT1_98/39424	151	. .	190
4_1-837_10/58	245	TGCCGGTGCCAGGACCTCGACCTCAA	294
PRT1_98/39424	191	.  .             .  .    . ttcctgtagttgggga-c-ctgacgatgatgg	220
4_1-837_10/58		CCTCAACCTCAACCTCAGCCTCAACCTCAACCTCAGCCTCAACC	344
PRT1_98/39424	221	.   .       . . aaactgttgatgggccttct	242
4_1-837_10/58	345	TCAACCTCAGCCTCAGCCTCAGCCTCAGCCTCAGCC-G	393
PRT1_98/39424	243	.    .    .  .   .   tctcttgttcttagag-cacttattaatggagtaaataatggaagg	287
4_1-837_10/58	394	-AAGCCTCAACCACCATCTCAGTCAACATCAGAATCAGCATCGCAATCCA	442
PRT1_98/39424	288	.   .       .  .        .     aatgggttgggttctatctatgtttttgcatcaggaaat	326
4_1-837_10/58		AACCAAAACCAACAACAAAAACCGTCACCGAGACCACACCCAAAG	492
PRT1_98/39424	327	 ggtggaatatatgaagataactgtaatt	354
4_1-837_10/58	493	CCGGTGCCAAAACCATCATCGATAGACACAGGACCATCAAAATCGGATTC	542
PRT1_98/39424	355	tcgatggatatgcaaatagtgtgtttaccattactattggtggc	398
4_1-837_10/58	543	A-AGCTTCATTTTTACAGTAACAAAAACAATAACAAAGATATCAGAAACA	591
PRT1_98/39424	399	atagataaacatggaaagcgtcttaaatat-tctgaagc-	436
4_1-837_10/58	592	GAAAAACCATCTACAAAACCATCTGTGAAACCAACCTCTACAAAGACAAC	641
PRT1_98/39424	437	gtgttcttctcagctagctgt-tacatatgcagg	469
4_1-837_10/58	642	ATCAAAACCATCTACAAAACCATCTGTAAAACCAGCCT   .  .	691
PRT1_98/39424	470	tggaagtgcggatatatttgta-actttaa-ttctatttttt	509
4_1-837_10/58	692	CTACAAAGACAACATCAGAATCAGAAAAACCAACATTGGAAGAAGTTCCA	741
PRT1_98/39424	510	tttatataaatttataaataattag-tata-ctactgatgtt	548
4_1-837_10/58	742	GAAACTAAAGGGAATGGTGTAAGAGTAATAGGATTTGAGGGGTTACAATT	791
PRT1_98/39424	549	ggtac-aaataaatgtacgagta-gacatg-gtggtacc	584
4_1-837_10/58	792	ATTATCAATGATTGTTGCAATAATAATTGGGATATGGATAATGTAA 837	
PRT1_98/39424	584	584	